

Application Serial No. 10/534,927
Reply to Office Action of June 21, 2006

JUL 25 2006

PATENT
Docket: CU-4209

REMARKS

In the Office Action, dated June 21, 2006, the Examiner states that Claims 1-5 are pending, Claims 1-3 are rejected and Claims 4 and 5 are objected to. By the present Amendment, Applicant amends the claims.


In the Office Action, Claims 1-3 are rejected and Claims 4 and 5 are indicated as containing allowable subject matter. Claims 4 and 5 have been amended into independent form, incorporating the features of Claim 1, which has been cancelled. Dependent Claims 2 and 3 have also been cancelled and presented again as Claims 6 and 7. Claim 6 is presented as a multiple dependent claim, however no additional fee is considered necessary since the fee for multiple dependent claims was previously paid upon filing the application.

The Advisory Action issued stating that the previously submitted amendment dated September 21, 2006 would not be entered since the amendment presents additional new claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. The present amendment which presents the new claims as multiple dependent claims should overcome this reason for refusing to enter the amendment.

No new matter has been presented in the amended claims, which should now be in condition for allowance.

In light of the foregoing response, all the outstanding objections and rejections are considered overcome. Applicant respectfully submits that this application should now be in condition for allowance and respectfully requests favorable consideration.

Respectfully submitted,

October 25, 2006

Date

Attorney for Applicant
Brian W. Hameder
c/o Ladas & Parry LLP
224 South Michigan Avenue
Chicago, Illinois 60604
(312) 427-1300
Reg. No. 45613